arXiv:1201.1682v1 [math.FA] 9 Jan 2012

VECTOR VALUED MARTINGALE-ERGODIC AND ERGODIC-MARTINGALE THEOREMS

F.A. Shahidi [a] [1] and I.G. Ganiev [b]

[a]*Faculty of Information and Communication Technology, International Islamic University Malaysia, P.O Box 10, 50728, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*;
[b]*Faculty of Engineering, International Islamic University Malaysia, P.O Box 10, 50728, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*

Abstract. We prove martingale-ergodic and ergodic-martingale theorems for vector valued Bochner integrable functions. We obtain dominant and maximal inequalities. We also prove weighted and multiparameter martingale-ergodic and ergodic martingale theorems.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 28D05, 46G10, 47A35, 60G48.
Key words: Vector valued martingale, vector valued martingale-ergodic process and ergodic-martingale processes, Bochner integrable functions.

1. Introduction

Since S. Kakutani [11], it has been known the similarity of ergodic averages and martingales in terms of their behavior. In addition, it was noticed that the proofs of ergodic theorems and (reversed) martingale convergence theorems have some similarities. Therefore the problem of unification of these processes was naturally arisen. Since then several approaches have been suggested by M. Jerrison (1959), G.-C. Rota (1961), A. and C. Ionescu-Tulcea (1963), A.M. Vershik (1960s) and A.G. Kachurovskii (see [10] for review). For example, M Jerrison showed that ergodic averages can be considered as martingales in some space with $\sigma-$ finite measure. G.-C. Rota introduced so called generalized martingales which allow to unify martingales and ergodic averages with respect to Abel summation and proved the convergence theorems for these processes. Abstract theorem of Ionescu-Tulcea gives a unique proof of martingale and ergodic convergence theorems. A.M. Vershik's approach was based on consideration of actions of locally finite groups. Unfortunately, each of the above approaches has some weaknesses by means of unification of these two processes into a unique superstructure [10]. Recently, A.G. Kachurovskii in [9], and in more detail in [10] developed a new theory of martingale-ergodic and ergodic-martingale processes and proved convergence theorems for these processes from which Birkhoff's pointwise ergodic theorem, von Neumann's mean ergodic theorem [12] as well as Doob's martingale convergence theorems [7], can be obtained as the degenerate cases. Moreover, the continuous analogues of martingale-ergodic and ergodic-martingale processes were studied by I.V. Podvigin [15], [16].

Note the vector valued ergodic theory is developed sufficiently well [12], [4]. Moreover, vector valued analogues of Doob's martingales convergence theorems are also known and can be found in [6], [19]. So, it is natural to develop Kachurovskii's unification theory for vector valued martingale and ergodic processes.

The aim of this paper is to extend martingale-ergodic and ergodic martingale processes to the space $L_p(\Omega, X)$ of Bochner integrable functions with values in a Banach space X. We prove norm convergence as well as a.e. convergence for $X-$ valued martingale-ergodic and ergodic-martingale processes. We establish dominant and maximal inequalities. Also, we extent obtained results for weighted and multiparameter martingale-ergodic and ergodic martingale processes.

---

[1] Corresponding author. Email: farruh.shahidi@gmail.com

The paper is organized in the following way. In the next section we define the vector valued martingale-ergodic processes and prove convergence theorems for them. In the following section we consider ergodic-martingale processes and prove convergence theorems for them. Finally, the last section is devoted to weighted and multiparameter extensions of obtained results.

2. Vector valued martingale ergodic theorems

In this section we prove a vector valued martingale ergodic theorems.

Throughout this paper X will be denoted a reflexive Banach space with the norm $||\cdot||_X$ and (Ω,β,μ) a finite measure space. By $L_p(X)=L_p(\Omega,X),\ 1\leq p<\infty$ we denote the Banach space of X valued measurable functions f on Ω. with the norm defined as

$$||f||_p=\left(\int_\Omega ||f(\omega)||_X^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We just write L_p when $X=R$. By $E(f|F)$ we denote the conditional expectation of $f\in L_p(\Omega,X)$ with respect to $\sigma-$ subalgebra F of β. Let F_n be a sequence of monotonically increasing (decreasing) $\sigma-$ subalgebras such that $F_n\uparrow F_\infty$ ($F_n\downarrow F_\infty$) as $n\to\infty$. Henceforth we only consider a monotone sequence of $\sigma-$ subalgebras F_n. The sequence $(f_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in $L_p(\Omega,X),\ 1\leq p<\infty$ is called an *ordinary martingale*, if $f_n=E(f_{n+1}|F_n)$, and it is called a *reversed martingale*, if $f_{n+1}=E(f_n|F_n)$. A martingale is called *regular* if

$$f_n=E(f_0|F_n).$$

Henceforth we consider only regular martingales.

We start with the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let f_n be a sequence in $L_p(\Omega,X),\ p\geq 1$. Assume that f_n is norm convergent to f^* in $L_p(\Omega,X)$ as $n\to\infty$. Then $E(f_{n_1}|F_{n_2})\to E(f^*|F_\infty)$ in norm on $L_p(\Omega,X)$ as $n_1,\ n_2\to\infty$(independently).*

Proof. The proof can be given analogously as Lemma 1 of [10].

□

We say that a linear operator T in $L_1(\Omega,X)$ is *positively dominated* if there exists a positive linear contraction T' in L_1, called a *positive dominant* of T, such that

$$||Tf||_X\leq T'(||f||_X).$$

Let us now consider some examples of positively dominated operators. More details can be found in [13], [8].

1. If T is a real valued, then it is positively dominated by some positive linear contraction on L_1. For the vector valued T, a positive dominant may not exist in general.

2. Let τ be an endomorphism on (Ω,β,μ) then the linear operator $T:L_1(\Omega,X)\to L_1(\Omega,X)$ given by $Tf=f\circ\tau$ is said to be generated by τ. T is positively dominated by T' with $T'(||f||_X)=||f||_X\circ\tau$.

3. Assume that the Banach space X has Radon-Nikodym property (this holds surely if X is reflexive). Consider the conditional expectation $E(f|F)$ with respect to $\sigma-$ subalgebra F of β. For $f\in L_1(\Omega,X)$, the conditional expectation $E(f|F)$ is Radon-Nikodym density with respect to the finite measure μ on F. Since $||E(f|F)||_X\leq E'(||f||_X|F)$ a.e. for all

$f \in L_1(\Omega, X)$, where $E'(\cdot|F)$ is a conditional expectation on L_1, then the operator $E(\cdot|F)$ is positively dominated by $E'(\cdot|F)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let f_n be a sequence in $L_1(\Omega, X)$ and $f_n \to f^*$ a.e. as $n \to \infty$. Assume that $h(\omega) = sup_n||f_n(\omega)||_X \in L_1$. Then $E(f_{n_1}|F_{n_2}) \to E(f^*|F_\infty)$ a.e. as $n_1,\ n_2 \to \infty$ (independently).*

Proof. Note that

$$||E(f_{n_1}|F_{n_2}) - E(f^*|F_\infty)||_X \leq ||E(f_{n_1}|F_{n_2}) - E(f^*|F_{n_2})||_X + ||E(f^*|F_{n_2}) - E(f^*|F_\infty)||_X.$$

According to martingale convergence theorem[5] (see also [14]) $||E(f^*|F_{n_2}) - E(f^*|F_\infty)||_X$ converges a.e. to 0 as $n_2 \to \infty$. Let us estimate $||E(f_{n_1}|F_{n_2}) - E(f^*|F_{n_2})||_X$. We have

$$||E(f_{n_1}|F_{n_2}) - E(f^*|F_{n_2})||_X = ||E(f_{n_1} - f^*|F_{n_2})||_X \leq$$

$$\leq E'(||f_{n_1} - f^*||_X\ |F_{n_2}) \leq E'(h_{n_1}|F_{n_2})$$

where $h_{n_1} = sup_{m \geq n_1}||f_m - f^*||_X$ and E' is the positive dominant of E, that is, a conditional expectation on L_1.. Since $f_n \to f^*$ a.e., then $h_{n_1} \to 0$ and $h_{n_1} \leq 2h \in L_1$. Applying Lemma 2 of [9] we get $E'(h_{n_1}|F_{n_2}) \to 0$. Therefore, $E(f_{n_1}|F_{n_2}) \to E(f^*|F_\infty)$ a.e. as $n_1,\ n_2 \to \infty$(independently).

□

Let us put

$$S_n f = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{n=0}^{n-1} T^i f,\ f^* = \lim_{n\to\infty} S_n f,$$

$$S'_n(||f||_X) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T')^i(||f||_X).$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let T be a linear operator generated by an endomorphism in (Ω, β, μ).*

1. Then

$$E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2}) \to E(f^*|F_\infty)$$

in $L_p(\Omega, X)$ as $n_1,\ n_2 \to \infty$, if $f \in L_p(\Omega, X),\ p \geq 1$. Moreover $||E(f^*|F_\infty||_p \leq ||f||_p$.

2. Let $sup_n||S_n f||_X \in L_1$ and $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$. Then

$$E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2}) \to E(f^*|F_\infty)$$

a.e. in $L_1(\Omega, X)$ as $n_1,\ n_2 \to \infty$, moreover $E(E(f^*|F_\infty)) = Ef$.

Proof. The norm convergence comes from Lemma 2.1 and vector valued analogue of mean ergodic theorem. Since the conditional expectation operator is contracting in $L_p(\Omega, X)$, then $||E(f^*|F_\infty)||_p \leq ||f^*||_p$. Further, since T is generated by an endomorphism, then the ergodic average $S_n f$ is contracting in $L_p(\Omega, X)$ norm. Hence $||f^*||_p \leq ||f||_p$.

The convergence a.e. follows vector valued analogue of Birkhoff's theorem (Theorem 4.2.1 in [12]) and Lemma 2.2.

□

Remark 1. In the degenerate case when $F_{n_2} \equiv F$, the above theorem coincides with the mean and a.e. vector valued ergodic theorems. Moreover, if $T \equiv id$ the theorem coincides with a vector valued martingale convergence theorem of S. Chatterjee (see [5], [6], [19]).

Remark 2. In case when F_n be a sequence of monotonically decreasing $\sigma-$ subalgebras such that $F_n \downarrow F$ as $n \to \infty$, Theorem 2.3 remains true.

Remark 3. The condition "$sup_n||S_n f||_X \in L_1$" is crucial. According to [1], this condition can not be omitted even in real valued case. However, in real valued case, under the assumption that conditional expectation and ergodic average commute, the convergence theorem is given for the unified processes in [16] without integrability of supremum.

Let $L(\Omega, X)[log^{+} L(\Omega, X)]^m$ denote the class of all functions for which

$$\int_X ||f||_X [log\ max(1, ||f||_X)]^m < \infty.$$

This space is a Banach space if we define the norm of f as above integral. We just write $L[log^{+} L]^m$ when $X = R$.

Theorem 2.4. *(Dominant inequality) Let T be a linear operator generated by an endomorphism in (Ω, β, μ). Let $F_{n_2} \downarrow F_\infty$ and $sup_n ||S_n f||_X \in L_1$. Then for $p > 1$, we have*

1.

$$||sup_{n_1,n_2} ||E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2})||_X||_p \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^2 ||f||_p.$$

2. If $f \in L(\Omega, X)[log^{+} L(\Omega, X)]^{m+2}$, then

$$sup_{n_1,n_2} ||E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2})||_X \in L[log^{+} L)]^m.$$

Proof. Let $g = sup_{n_1} ||S_{n_1} f||_X$. Then

$$||sup_{n_1,n_2} ||E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2})||_X||_p \leq ||sup_{n_2} E'(g|F_{n_2})||_p$$

where E' is a positive dominant of E. Since g is a real valued function, then by dominant inequality for the reversed martingales [17], we get

$$||sup_{n_2} E'(g|F_{n_2})||_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} ||E'(g|F_1)||_p.$$

Since the conditional expectation is contracting, then

$$\frac{p}{p-1} ||E'(g|F_1)||_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} ||g||_p \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{p}{p-1} ||sup_n ||S_n f||_X||_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} ||sup_n S'_n(||f||_X)||_p.$$

Now, again by using the dominant inequality [12]

$$||sup_n S'_n(||f||_X)||_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} ||||f||_X||_p = \frac{p}{p-1} ||f||_p.$$

Therefore

$$||sup_{n_1,n_2} ||E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2})||_X||_p \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^2 ||f||_p.$$

2. Let $g = sup_{n_1} ||S_{n_1} f||_X$. First we apply Theorem 2 from [20], which asserts that if the function f is from the class $L(\Omega, X)[log^{+} L(\Omega, X)]^{m+2}$, then $g = sup_{n_1} ||S_{n_1} f||_X$ belongs to the class $L[log^{+} L]^{m+1}$. We have the following estimation

$$sup_{n_1,n_2} ||E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2})||_X \leq sup_{n_2} E'(g|F_{n_2})$$

where E' is a positive dominant of E.

Note that the sequence $E'(g|F_{n_2})_{n_2}$ is just the reversed nonnegative submartingale. Since $g \in L[log^{+} L]^{m+1}$, then by applying corollary 1.4 from [18], we get $sup_{n_2} E'(g|F_{n_2}) \in L[log^{+} L]^m$. So the above inequality implies

$$sup_{n_1,n_2} ||E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2})||_X \in L[log^{+} L)]^m.$$

□

Theorem 2.5. *(Maximal Inequality) Let* T *be a linear operator generated by an endomorphism in* (Ω, β, μ) *and* $f \in L_p(\Omega, X),\ p > 1$. *Then the following inequality holds for any* $\varepsilon > 0$ *in the case when* $F_n \downarrow F_\infty$ *and* $sup_n ||S_n f||_X \in L_1$.

$$\mu\{sup_{n_1,n_2} ||E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2})||_X \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p}.$$

Proof. We put $g = sup_{n_1} ||S_{n_1} f||_X$. Then

$$\mu\{sup_{n_1,n_2} ||E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2})||_X \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \mu\{sup_{n_2} E'(g|F_{n_2}) \geq \varepsilon\}$$

where E' is a positive dominant of E.

By maximal inequality for reversed martingales [17], we have

$$\mu\{sup_{n_2} E'(g|F_{n_2}) \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} ||E'(g|F_1)||_p^p.$$

Now, we apply the fact that the conditional expectation is a contraction in L_p and ergodic average S_{n_1} is positively dominated, we get

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} ||E'(g|F_1)||_p^p \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} ||g||_p^p \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} ||sup_{n_1} S'_{n_1}(||f||_X)||_p^p.$$

Further from dominant inequality for ergodic averages, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} ||sup_{n_1} S'_{n_1}(||f||_X)||_p^p \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p}.$$

That is why

$$\mu\{sup_{n_1,n_2} ||E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2})||_X \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p}.$$

□

We say that T is an $L_1 - L_\infty$ contraction if $||Tf||_1 \leq ||f||$ and $||Tf||_\infty \leq ||f||_\infty$, where

$$||f||_1 = \int_\Omega ||f(\omega)||_X d\mu$$

and

$$||f||_\infty = inf\{\lambda : ||f(\omega)||_X \leq \lambda \ a.e\}.$$

Chacon [4] proved the individual ergodic theorem for $L_1 - L_\infty$ contractions acting in $L_1(\Omega, X)$, where X is reflexive. The following theorem, which can be proved analogously as Theorem 2.3, unifies Chacon's theorem and martingale ergodic theorem.

Theorem 2.6. *Let* $T : L_1(\Omega, X) \to L_1(\Omega, X)$ *be positively dominated by an* $L_1 - L_\infty$ *contraction* T' *in* L_1. *Then*

1. $E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2})$ *converges in* $L_p(\Omega, X),\ p \geq 1$, *if* $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$ *as* $n_1, n_2 \to \infty$.
2. $E(S_{n_1} f|F_{n_2})$ *converges a.e. if* $sup_{n_1} ||S_{n_1} f||_X \in L_1$.

Proof. Note that since T' is an $L_1 - L_\infty$ contraction in L_1, then T is also an $L_1 - L_\infty$ contraction in $L_1(\Omega, X)$. Therefore, both assertions are the consequences of Chacon's theorem [4], Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

□

The following dominant and maximal inequalities for $L_1 - L_\infty$ contraction hold with the same constants of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5.

Theorem 2.7. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6 the following inequalities hold true if* $sup_{n_1}||S_{n_1}f||_X \in L_1$ *and* $p > 1$.

1.

$$||sup_{n_1,n_2}||E(S_{n_1}f|F_{n_2})||_X||_p \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^2 ||f||_p.$$

2.

$$\mu\{sup_{n_1,n_2}||E(S_{n_1}f|F_{n_2})||_X \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p}.$$

3. VECTOR VALUED ERGODIC-MARTINGALE PROCESSES

In this section, we define vector valued ergodic-martingale processes and prove the convergence theorems for them.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* T *a linear operator generated by an endomorphism* (Ω, β, μ) *and* f_n *be a sequence in* $L_p(\Omega, X)$, $p \geq 1$, *and* $f_n \to f$ *in* $L_p(\Omega, X)$ *whenever* $n \to \infty$. *Then*

$$S_{n_1}f_{n_2} \to f^* = \lim_{n\to\infty} S_n f$$

in L_p *as* $n_1, n_2 \to \infty$.

Proof. Since

$$||S_{n_1}f_{n_2} - f^*||_p \leq ||S_{n_1}(f_{n_2} - f)||_p + ||S_{n_1}f - f^*||_p,$$

then from condition of the lemma and by virtue of contraction property of ergodic averaging S_n we get the desired result. □

The following lemma is a generalization of Theorem 7.5 proved by Maker P.T.(see [12], p.66 or [10]), in a vector valued case.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* T *a linear operator generated by an endomorphism* (Ω, β, μ) *and* f_n *be a sequence in* $L_p(\Omega, X)$, $p \geq 1$ *with* $f_n \to f$ *a.e. as* $n \to \infty$ *in* $||\cdot||_X$ *norm,* $h = sup_n||f_n||_X \in L_1$. *Then for positively dominated operator* T *we have*

$$S_{n_1}f_{n_2} \to f^* = \lim_{n\to\infty} S_n f$$

a.e. as $n_1, n_2 \to \infty$

Proof. One can see that

$$||S_{n_1}f_{n_2} - f^*||_X \leq ||S_{n_1}(f_{n_2} - f)||_X + ||S_{n_1}f - f^*||_X$$

Obviously, $||S_{n_1}(f) - f^*||_X \to 0$ a.e.

Since T is positively dominated, then there exists a positive dominant T' such that

$$||Tf||_X \leq T'(||f||_X).$$

Hence

$$||S_{n_1}(f_{n_2} - f)||_X \leq ||\frac{1}{n_1}\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} T^i(f_{n_2} - f)||_X \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n_1}\sum_{n=0}^{n_1-1} (T')^i(||f_{n_2} - f||_X) = S'_{n_1}(||f_{n_2} - f||_X).$$

Note that $||f_{n_2} - f||_X \in L_1$ and according to our assumption $||f_{n_2} - f||_X \to 0$ a.e. Further, since the function $h = sup_n||f_n||_X$ is integrable, then due to $||f_{n_2} - f||_X \leq 2h$ the function $||f_{n_2} - f||_X$ is also integrable. According to Theorem 7.5 [12] (p.66) we get $S'_{n_1}(||f_{n_2} - f||_X) \to 0$ a.e. Therefore, $||S_{n_1}f_{n_2} - f^*||_X \to 0$ a.e.

□

Theorem 3.3. *Let T be a linear operator generated by an endomorphism (Ω, β, μ). The following statements hold.*

1.

$$S_{n_1}(E(f|F_{n_2})) \to E(f^*|F_\infty)$$

in $L_p(\Omega, X)$ as $n_1,\ n_2 \to \infty$, if $f \in L_p(\Omega, X),\ p \geq 1$. Moreover $||E(f^*|F_\infty)||_p \leq ||f||_p$.

2. If $sup_{n_2}||E(f|F_{n_2})||_X \in L_1$, then

$$S_{n_1}(E(f|F_{n_2})) \to f^*_\infty$$

a.e. in $L_1(\Omega, X)$ as $n_1,\ n_2 \to \infty$, moreover $E(E(f^*|F_\infty)) = Ef$.

Proof. The proofs are the same as the proofs of Theorem 2.3. The norm convergence is the combination of Lemma 3.1, vector valued mean ergodic theorem [12], and vector valued martingale convergence theorem. Note that if a linear operator is generated by an endomorphism, then it possesses a positive dominant. So the a.e. convergence is the combination of Lemma 3.2, vector valued individual ergodic theorem and vector valued martingale convergence theorem. □

As the matter of fact, as in classical L_p spaces, dominant and maximal inequalities for ergodic-martingale processes can be obtained by the same arguments of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 for martingale-ergodic processes. However, as in real valued case [10], the advantage of ergodic-martingale processes is that the sequence of martingales need not necessarily to be reversed.

Theorem 3.4. *(Dominant Inequality) For a linear operator T generated by an endomorphism on (Ω, β, μ) the following estimates hold true.*

1. If $f \in L_p(\Omega, X),\ p > 1$, and $sup_{n_2}||E(f|F_{n_2})||_X \in L_1$, then

$$||sup_{n_1,n_2}||S_{n_1}(E(f|F_{n_2}))||_X||_p \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^2 ||f||_p.$$

2. If $f \in L(\Omega, X)[log^+ L(\Omega, X)]^{m+2}$, then

$$sup_{n_1,n_2}||S_{n_1}(E(f|F_{n_2}))||_X \in L[log^+ L]^m.$$

Proof. The proof of this Theorem is very similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $g = sup_n||E(f|F_n)||_X$. Then

$$||sup_{n_1,n_2}||S_{n_1}(E(f|F_{n_2}))||_X||_p \leq ||sup_{n_1}S'_{n_1}g||_p.$$

From the dominant inequality for ergodic averages [12] we get

$$||sup_{n_1}S'_{n_1}g||_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1}||g||_p.$$

Since the conditional expectation operator is positively dominated, then

$$\frac{p}{p-1}||g||_p = \frac{p}{p-1}||sup_n||E(f|F_n)||_X||_p \leq\leq \frac{p}{p-1}||sup_n E(||f||_X|F_n)||_p \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^2 ||f||_p.$$

Now we prove part 2. We set $g = sup_n||E(f|F_n)||_X$. One has

$$g \leq sup_{n_2}E'(||f||_X|F_{n_2}).$$

Note that since $f \in L(\Omega, X)[log^+ L(\Omega, X)]^{m+2}$, then $||f||_X \in L[log^+ L]^{m+2}$. Since the sequence $E'(||f||_X|F_{n_2})$ is reversed nonnegative martingale, then Corrolary 1.4 of [18] implies

$$g = sup_n||E(f|F_n)||_X \in L[log^+ L]^{m+1}.$$

Further, note that

$$sup_{n_1,n_2}||S_{n_1}(E(f|F_{n_2}))||_X \leq sup_{n_1}S'_{n_1}g.$$

Moreover, the condition $g \in L[log^+L]^{m+1}$ yields $S'_n g \in L[log^+L]^m$ [12]. Finally, the above inequality implies

$$sup_{n_1,n_2}||S_{n_1}(E(f|F_{n_2}))||_X \in L[log^+L]^m.$$

□

Theorem 3.5. *(Maximal Inequality) Let T be a linear operator generated by an endomorphism (Ω, β, μ) If $sup_{n_2}||E(f|F_{n_2})||_X \in L_1$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\mu\{sup_{n_1,n_2}||S_{n_1}(E(f|F_{n_2}))||_X \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p}.$$

Proof. $g = sup_n||E(f|F_n)||_X$. Then

$$\mu\{sup_{n_1,n_2}||S_{n_1}(E(f|F_{n_2}))||_X \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \mu\{sup_{n_1}S'_{n_1}g \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

By the maximal inequality for ergodic averages [12], we obtain

$$\mu\{sup_{n_1}S'_{n_1}g \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||g||_p^p.$$

Finally, from the dominant inequality for vector valued martingales [14], [**?**], we get

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||g||_p^p = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||sup_n||E(f|F_n)||_X||_p^p \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p},$$

hence

$$\mu\{sup_{n_1,n_2}||S_{n_1}(E(f|F_{n_2}))||_X \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p}.$$

□

Theorem 3.6. *Let an operator $T : L_1(\Omega, X) \to L_1(\Omega, X)$ be positively dominated by an $L_1 - L_\infty$ contraction T' in L_1. Then*

1. *$S_{n_1}(E(f|F_{n_2}))$ converges in $L_p(\Omega, X)$, $p \geq 1$, if $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$ as $n_1, n_2 \to \infty$.*
2. *$S_{n_1}(E(f|F_{n_2}))$ converges a.e. if $sup_{n_2}||E_{n_2}(f|F_{n_2})||_X \in L_1$ and $f \in L_1(\Omega, X)$.*

Proof. Since T' is an $L_1 - L_\infty$ contraction in L_1, then T is also an $L_1 - L_\infty$ contraction in $L_1(\Omega, X)$. Therefore, the proof comes from Chacon's theorem [4], Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

□

Theorem 3.7. *Let an operator $T : L_1(\Omega, X) \to L_1(\Omega, X)$ be positively dominated by an $L_1 - L_\infty$ contraction in L_1. If $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$, $p > 1$ and $sup_{n_2}||E(f|F_{n_2})||_X \in L_1$, then*

1.
$$||sup_{n_1,n_2}||S_{n_1}E(f|F_{n_2})||_X||_p \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^2 ||f||_p.$$

2.
$$\mu\{sup_{n_1,n_2}||S_{n_1}E(f|F_{n_2})||_X \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p}.$$

4. Weighted and multiparameter cases

In fact, not only can martingales be unified by ergodic averages, but also multiparameter martingales can be unified by weighted and multiparameter ergodic averages. In this section we provide a weighted and multiparameter martingale-ergodic as well as ergodic-martingale theorems.

Firstly, we define the following terminology. Let $\alpha_i,\ i \in N$ be a sequence of complex numbers. We say that α_i is a *bounded Besicovitch sequence* if the sequence α_i is bounded and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a trigonometric polynomial φ_ε such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_i - \varphi_\varepsilon(i)| < \varepsilon.$$

We define the following weighted average

$$S_n(T,\ \alpha,\ f) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i T^i f,$$

where T is a linear operator in $L_1(\Omega, X)$. When X is reflexive and T is an $L_1 - L_\infty$ contraction, then norm and a.e. convergence of $S_n(T,\ \alpha,\ f)$ is due to K. Berdan [2]. The following theorem is a unification of vector valued weighted ergodic theorem and martingale convergence theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let an operator $T : L_1(\Omega, X) \to L_1(\Omega, X)$ be positively dominated by an $L_1 - L_\infty$ contraction T' in L_1. Let $f \in L_1(\Omega, X)$ such that $sup_n ||S_n(T,\ \alpha,\ f||_X \in L_1$. Then*

1. $E(S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ f)|F_{n_2})$ converges in $L_p(\Omega, X),\ p \geq 1$, if $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$ as $n_1, n_2 \to \infty$.

2. $E(S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ f)|F_{n_2})$ converges a.e.

In case $F_{n_2} \downarrow F_\infty$ and $p > 1$ we have

3.

$$||sup_{n_1,n_2} ||E(S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ f)|F_{n_2})||_X||_p \leq \alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^2 ||f||_p.$$

4.

$$\mu\{sup_{n_1,n_2} ||E(S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ f)|F_{n_2})||_X \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p},$$

where $\alpha = sup_i(\alpha_i)$.

Proof. The proof of the first part is the combination of Lemma 2.1 and norm convergence of $S_n(T,\ \alpha,\ f)$ [2]. The second part comes from Lemma 2.2. and a.e. convergence of $S_n(T,\ \alpha,\ f)$ [2].

3. Let $g = sup_{n_1} ||S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ f)||_X$. Then

$$||sup_{n_1,n_2} ||E(S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ f)|F_{n_2})||_X||_p \leq ||sup_{n_2} E'(g|F_{n_2})||_p,$$

where E' is the positive dominant of E.

Since g is a real valued function, then by dominant inequality for the reversed martingales we get

$$||sup_{n_2} E'(g|F_{n_2})||_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} ||E'(g|F_1)||_p.$$

Since the conditional expectation is contracting, then

$$\frac{p}{p-1} ||E'(g|F_1)||_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} ||g||_p \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{p}{p-1} ||sup_n ||S_n(T,\ \alpha,\ f)||_X||_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} ||sup_n S'_n(T', \alpha\ ||f||_X)||_p$$

where $S'_n(T', \alpha\ ||f||_X) = \frac{1}{n}\sum\limits_{i=0}^{n-1}\alpha_i (T')^i(||f||_X)$.

Now, by using the dominant inequality [2] for $S'_n(T,\ \alpha,\ f)$, in case $X = R$, we get

$$||sup_n S'_n(||f||_X)||_p \le \alpha\frac{p}{p-1}||||f||_X||_p = \alpha\frac{p}{p-1}||f||_p.$$

Hence, we get

$$||sup_{n_1,n_2}||E(S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ f)|F_{n_2})||_X||_p \le \alpha\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^2||f||_p.$$

4. We put $g = sup_{n_1}||S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ f)||_X$. Then

$$\mu\{sup_{n_1,n_2}||E(S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ f)|F_{n_2})||_X \ge \varepsilon\} \le \mu\{sup_{n_2}E'(g|F_{n_2}) \ge \varepsilon\}$$

where E' is a positive dominant of E.

By maximal inequality for reversed martingales [17], we have

$$\mu\{sup_{n_2}E'(g|F_{n_2}) \ge \varepsilon\} \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||E'(g|F_1)||_p^p.$$

Now, we apply again that the conditional expectation is a contraction in L_p and get

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||E'(g|F_1)||_p^p \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||g||_p^p \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||sup_{n_1}S'_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ ||f||_X)||_p^p$$

where $S'_n(T', \alpha\ ||f||_X) = \frac{1}{n}\sum\limits_{i=0}^{n-1}\alpha_i (T')^i(||f||_X)$.

Further from dominant inequality weighted for ergodic averages [2], we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||sup_{n_1}S'_{n_1}(T', \alpha\ ||f||_X)||_p^p \le \alpha\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p\frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p}.$$

That is why

$$\mu\{sup_{n_1,n_2}||E(S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ f)|F_{n_2})||_X \ge \varepsilon\} \le \alpha\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p\frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p}.$$

□

The following theorem is a weighted vector valued ergodic-martingale theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let an operator $T : L_1(\Omega, X) \to L_1(\Omega, X)$ be positively dominated by an $L_1 - L_\infty$ contraction T' in L_1. Let $f \in L_1(\Omega, X)$ such that $sup_n||E(f|F_n)||_X \in L_1$. Then*

1. $S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ E(f|F_{n_2}))$ converges in $L_p(\Omega, X)$, $p \ge 1$, if $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$ as $n_1, n_2 \to \infty$.

2. $S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ E(f|F_{n_2}))$ converges a.e.

In case when $F_{n_2} \downarrow F_\infty$ and $p > 1$ we have

3.

$$||sup_{n_1,n_2}||S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ E(f|F_{n_2}))||_X||_p \le \alpha\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^2||f||_p.$$

4.

$$\mu\{sup_{n_1,n_2}||S_{n_1}(T,\ \alpha,\ E(f|F_{n_2}))||_X \ge \varepsilon\} \le \alpha\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p\frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p},$$

where $\alpha = sup_i(\alpha_i)$.

Proof. The proofs of 1 and 2 are the combinations of Lemma 3.1, 3.2 and weighted vector ergodic theorem of K.Berdan [2]. 3 and 4 can be obtained in a similar way to 3 and 4 of the previous theorem. □

Now, we turn to the unification of multiparmeter martingales and ergodic averages. For each fixed k, let $F_n^k,\ n \in N$ be either increasing or decreasing $\sigma-$ subalgebras such that $F_n^k \uparrow F_\infty^k$ or $F_n^k \downarrow F_\infty^k$. Let $E_n^k = E(\cdot|F_n^k),\ n \in N$ and $E_s = E_{s_1}^1 E_{s_2}^2 \cdots E_{s_{p+1}}^{p+1}$, for $s = (s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_{p+1}) \in N^{p+1}$. By $(F_s,\ s \in N^{p+1})$ we denote the increasing or decreasing net of $\sigma-$ subalgebras.

Consider the following multiparameter weighted average

$$S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f) = \frac{1}{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_d} \sum_{k_i=0\ \ i=\overline{1,d}}^{n_i-1} \alpha_{k_1}^1 \cdots \alpha_{k_d}^d T_1^{k_1} \cdots T_d^{k_d}(f)$$

where $\{(\alpha_n^j)\}$ are bounded Besicovitch sequences, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, d$. We set $\alpha = sup_{k=1,2,\cdots,d} sup_j |\alpha_k^j|$.

We define the multiparameter martingale-ergodic average as $E_s(S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d\ f)|F_s)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let the operators* $T_i : L_1(\Omega, X) \to L_1(\Omega, X),\ i = \overline{1,d}$ *be positively dominated by an* $L_1{-}L_\infty$ *contractions* T_i' *in* L_1. *Assume* $f \in L_1(\Omega, X)$ *and* $sup_{n_j} ||S_{n_j}(T,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)||_X$ *is integrable, then*

1. The multiparameter martingale-ergodic average as $E_s(S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d\ f)|F_s)$ *converges a.e. as* $n_d, s \to \infty$ *independently.*

For $f \in L_p(\Omega, X),\ p > 1,$ *we have*

2.

$$||sup_{n_j,s}||E_s(S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)|F_s)||_X||_p \le \alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{d+p+1} ||f||_p.$$

3.

$$\mu\{sup_{n_j,s}||E(S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)|F_s)||_X \ge \varepsilon\} \le \alpha^p \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{pd} \frac{||f||_p^p}{\varepsilon^p}.$$

Proof. 1. Since the operators T_i are dominated by an $L_1 - L_\infty$ contractions T_i', then T_i are also $L_1(\Omega, X) - L_\infty(\Omega, X)$ contractions. Therefore, T_i satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4 of [3], from which it follows that $S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)$ converges a.e. as $n_j \to \infty,\ j = 1, 2, \cdots d$.

On the other hand, since X is reflexive, then it possesses the Radon-Nykodim property, therefore, from Theorem 6.2 of [8], it follows that for $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$

$$E_s = E_{s_1}^1 E_{s_2}^2 \cdots E_{s_{p+1}}^{p+1}(f)$$

converges a.e. as the indices $s_i \to \infty$ independently.

These two facts along with Lemma 2.2 prove the assertion 1 of the theorem.

2. Let $g = sup_{n_j}||S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)||_X$. Then we have

$$||sup_{n_j,s}||E_s(S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)|F_s)||_X||_p \le ||sup_s||E_s'(g|F_s)||_X||_p,$$

where E_s' is a positive dominant of E_s.

Dominant inequality for reversed martingales and simple iteration argument (see [14], p.22) imply

$$||sup_s||E_s'(g|F_s)||_X||_p \le \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p+1} ||g||_p.$$

Further,

$$(\frac{p}{p-1})^{p+1}||g||_p = \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p+1} ||sup_{n_j}||S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)||_X||_p \le$$

$$\le \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p+1} ||sup_{n_j}||S'(T_d',\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ ||f||_X)||_X||_p,$$

where S', given by

$$S'(T'_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ ||f||_X) = \frac{1}{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_d} \sum_{k_i=0\ i=\overline{1,d}}^{n_i-1} \alpha^1_{k_1} \cdots \alpha^d_{k_d} (T'_1)^{k_1} \cdots (T'_d)^{k_d}(g)$$

is a positive dominant of S.

Finally, from weighted multiparameter dominant inequality for ergodic average S' [3] (in case $X = R$), we have

$$||sup_{n_j}||S'(T'_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ ||f||_X)||_p \leq \alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^d ||f||_p$$

and hence

$$||sup_{n_j,s}||E_s(S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)|F_s)||_X||_p \leq \alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{d+p+1} ||f||_p.$$

3. Let $g = sup_{n_j}||S(T,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)||_X$. Then

$$\mu\{sup_{n_j,s}||E(S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)|F_s)||_X \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \mu\{sup_s E'_s(g|F_s) \geq \varepsilon\},$$

where each $(E^i_{s_i})'$ $i = \overline{1,p+1}$ is the positive dominant of $E^i_{s_i}$ $i = \overline{1,p+1}$.

By maximal inequality for $(E^1_{s_1})'$ we have

$$\mu\{sup_s E'_s(g|F_s) \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||(E^2_{s_2})' \cdots (E^{p+1}_{s_{p+1}})'(g|F_{s_2,\cdots,s_{p+1}})||^p_p$$

From contraction property of conditional expectations, we have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||(E^2_{s_2})' \cdots (E^{p+1}_{s_{p+1}})'(g|F_{s_2,\cdots,s_{p+1}})||^p_p \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||g||^p_p.$$

Now we use the dominant inequality for ergodic averages and get

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||g||^p_p = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}||sup_{n_j}||S(T,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)||_X||^p_p \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}\alpha^p \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{pd} ||f||^p_p = \alpha^p \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{pd} \frac{||f||^p_p}{\varepsilon^p}$$

□

Remark 4. It should be stressed that in above theorems the number of conditional expectations depend on p (there are $p+1$ conditional expectations). That is why Theorem 4.1 can not be considered as a particular case of Theorem 4.3. However, one can unify one parameter martingale with weighted multiparamater ergodic averages and obtain similar results.

The following is a multiparameter ergodic-martingale theorem. However, maximal inequality for this process is unknown for us.

Theorem 4.4. *Let the operators* $T_i : L_1(\Omega, X) \to L_1(\Omega, X),\ i = \overline{1,d}$ *be positively dominated by an* $L_1 - L_\infty$ *contractions* T'_i *in* L_1. *Assume* $f \in L_1(\Omega, X)$ *and* $sup_s||E_s(f|F_s)||_X$ *is integrable, then*

1. The multiparameter ergodic-martingale average as $S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d\, E_s(f|F_s))$ *converges a.e. as* $n_d, s \to \infty$ *independently.*

2. For $f \in L_p(\Omega, X),\ p > 1.$ *we have*

$$||sup_{n_j,s}||S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ E_s(f|F_s))||_X||_p \leq \alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{d+p+1} ||f||_p.$$

Proof. 1. The proof is very similar to the proof of the previous theorem. Since the operators T_i are dominated by an $L_1 - L_\infty$ contractions T_i', then T_i are also $L_1(\Omega, X) - L_\infty(\Omega, X)$ contractions. Therefore, T_i satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4 of [3], from which it follows that $S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)$ converges a.e. as $n_j \to \infty,\ j = 1, 2, \cdots d$.

Moreover, the reflexivity of X allows us to use Theorem 6.2 of [8], which says that for $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$, the multiparameter conditional expectations

$$E_s = E^1_{s_1} E^2_{s_2} \cdots E^{p+1}_{s_{p+1}}(f)$$

converges a.e. as the indices $s_i \to \infty$ independently.

Therefore, Lemma 3.2 concludes the proof of the assertion 1 of the theorem.

2. Let $g = sup_s ||E_s(f|F_s)||_X$. Then

$$||sup_{n_j,s}||S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ E_s(f|F_s))||_X||_p \leq ||sup_{n_j} S'(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ g)||_p$$

where $S'(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ g)$, defined by

$$S'(T_d',\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f) = \frac{1}{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_d} \sum_{k_i=0\ \ i=\overline{1,d}}^{n_i-1} \alpha^1_{k_1} \cdots \alpha^d_{k_d} (T_1')^{k_1} \cdots (T_d')^{k_d}(f)$$

is a positive dominant of $S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ f)$.

From dominant inequality for weighted multiparameter ergodic averages , we have

$$||sup_{n_j} S'(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ g)||_p \leq \alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^d ||g||_p.$$

Note that since conditional expectations E_s are positively dominated, then

$$||E_s(f|F_s)||_X \leq E_s'(||f||_X|F_s),$$

where E_s' is multiparameter real valued conditional expectation. Thus,

$$\alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^d ||g||_p = \alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^d ||sup_s||E_s(f|F_s)||_X||_p \leq \alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^d ||E_s'(||f||_X|F_s)||_p.$$

The dominant inequality for reversed martingales and simple iteration argument bring to the following estimate

$$\alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^d ||E_s'(||f||_X|F_s)||_p \leq \alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{d+p+1} ||f||_p.$$

That is why

$$||sup_{n_j,s}||S(T_d,\ \alpha_d,\ n_d,\ E_s(f|F_s))||_X||_p \leq \alpha \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{d+p+1} ||f||_p$$

holds.

□

References

[1] Argiris G., Rosenblatt J.M. Forcing divergence when supremum is not integrable, Positivity, 10(2006), 261–284.

[2] Berdan K. El. The vector individual weghted ergodic theorem for bounded besicovich sequence. Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae , 1(68)(1999), 1-15.

[3] Berdan K. El. Vector ergodic theorem in $L(X)logL(X)$, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, 2(69)(2000), 233–240.

[4] Chacon R.V. An ergodic theorem for operators satisfying norm condition, J. Math Mech, 11(1962), 165–172.

[5] Chatterjee S.D. Martingales of Banach valued random variables, Bull. AMS, 60(5)(1960), 395–398.

[6] Diestel J. and Uhl J.J., Vector measures, AMS, 1977, pp.322.

[7] Doob J.L., Stochastic processes, Wiley, 1990, pp. 654.

[8] Frangos N.E., Sucheston L. On multiparameter ergodic and martingale theorems in infinite measure spaces. Probab. Th. Rel. Fields, 71(1986), 477–490.

[9] Kachurovskii A.G. Martingale-ergodic theorem, Math Notes, 64(1998), 266–269.

[10] Kachurovskii A.G. General theories unifying ergodic averages and martingales, Proceeding of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 256(2007), 160–187.

[11] Kakutani S., Ergodic Theory. in Proc. Int. Congr. Math. Cambridge, MA, 1950 (Am. Math. Soc. Providence, 2(1952) 128–142).

[12] Krengel U., Ergodic Theorems. Walter de Grugwer.–Berlin, New-York. 1985, pp.357.

[13] Kusraev A.G. Dominated operators, Kluwer Acad. Publ., 2000, pp.446.

[14] Pisier G. Martingales in Banach spaces (with connection Type and Cotype), Course IHP, 2011 pp. 242.

[15] Podvigin I.V. Martingale-ergodic and ergodic martingale processes with continuos time, Mt. Sb., 5, 200(2009), 55–70.

[16] Podvigin I.V. A martingale-ergodic theorem, Siberian, Math. Jour., 6(51)(2009), 55–70.

[17] Shiraev A.N.. Probability M.:Nauka 1989. pp. 640.

[18] Sucheston L. On one-parameter proofs of almost sure convergence of multiparameter processes, Z. Wahrscheinchkeitstheor. Verw. Geb., 63(1)(1983), 43–49.

[19] Vakhaniia N.N., Tariladze V.I., Chabanyan S.A. Probability distributions of Banach spaces, Springer Science & Business, 1987, pp.482.

[20] Yoshimoto T., Vector valued ergodic theorems for operators satisfying norm condition, Pac. J. Math. 2(85)(1979), 485–499.